

retour sur innovation



## **INTRODUCTION (1/5)**

- Real Systems always respect two principles :
  - The determinism principle : the future of the system can be determined from its present state and its past:
    - At any time t, there is an  $\varepsilon$  value for which the future behavior of the system at  $t + \varepsilon$  is exactly known.
  - The *causality principle* : the future never influences the past:
    - The system state at time t is independent of anything that may occur at a time t' greater than t.
  - Any simulation of a real system have to ensure both principles.
- Distributed Event Driven Simulation
  - A distributed simulation system consists of *different autonomous computers* that communicate through *a global (or local) network*;
  - Simulators located on different computers interact with each other in order to achieve a *global common goal*:
    - Every simulator must determine the next instant, in the simulated time, which will produce a state change in the whole system.



## **INTRODUCTION (2/5)**

### Middleware Level

- Development of standards (CORBA, RPC,...) to consistently face problems involved by distribution (heterogeneous computers, network protocols):
  - → *HLA standard* for distributed simulations (1.3 / IEEE 1516 / Evolved).
- *Middleware* in computing terms is used to describe a software agent acting as an *intermediary* between different distributed processes:
  - → *Run Time Infrastructure (RTI)* is the HLA compliant middleware.





# **INTRODUCTION (3/5)**

## <u>CERTI Middleware</u>

•Open Source RTI managed and maintained by Onera team (GPL, LGPL): • ref: 09S-SIW-015.

- Developed in C++;
- Architecture of communicating processes;
- Implementation with TCP, UDP sockets;
- Available under *Linux*, *Unix* and *Windows* operating systems.
- *Fully compliant* with 1.3 standard;
- *Not fully compliant* with IEEE 1516:
  - Work in progress.
- Available at:
- → http://pierre.siron.free.fr/certi.html







## **INTRODUCTION (4/5)**

### <u>Targeted Applications</u>

- Formation flying simulation (Xplane, Flight Gear, MS Flight Simulator,...)
  - Communication between each simulator with CERTI



- Hardware-in-the-loop and embedded systems simulations
  - Connecting sensors and actuators with CERTI



P.Siron, E.Noulard, JB.Chaudron (April 6, 2011)



## **INTRODUCTION (5/5)**

- <u>Our goal:</u> Using / Studying real-time properties with HLA standard
  - To use HLA standard to allow communication between several distributed process with timing constraints (real time tasks);
  - To understand weaknesses and strengths of time management techniques for real time;
  - To propose solutions and techniques *to ensure determinism* of HLA time management.

### • <u>Plan</u>





- 🔆 Global View
- → Algorithms and Limitations
- → HLA services concerned

TM for event driven RT federate

- → NER, NERA and Time Creep
- → A new Optimized Algorithm
- ➔ Illustration

TM for time driven RT federate

- ➔ Periodic Federates
- ➔ Metrics, Formulas
- ➔ Illustration

- <u>Time management mechanisms</u>
  - One of the main benefits of this simulation standard HLA;
  - Allow a consistent global time throughout the simulation and to prevent causal anomalies effects;
  - Different kinds of approaches:
    - Optimistic Strategy (coherent-post):
      - → Virtual Time (Jefferson).
    - Conservative Strategy:
      - Avoid the violation of the *local causality constraint* altogether;
      - Main interest of this work.

### Usefulness of Conservative Time Management for real time simulation ?

- Ensure respect of deadlines;
- Keep consistency between the different federates cycles during their execution.



**Global View** 

- 🔆 Algorithms and Limitations
- → HLA services concerned

#### TM for event driven RT federate

- → NER, NERA and Time Creep
- → A new Optimized Algorithm
- ➔ Illustration

#### TM for time driven RT federate

- ➔ Periodic Federates
- ➔ Metrics, Formulas
- ➔ Illustration
- First Generation: NULL MESSAGE ALGORITHM [1979]
  - Based on Chandy, Misra & Bryant original algorithm;
  - <u>Limitation for real-time</u>: Latency due to *null message exchange* between federates (depends on *lookahead* parameter).

### • Second Generation: DISTRIBUTED SNAPSHOTS ALGORITHM [1993]

- Based on Mattern original algorithm;
- <u>Limitation for real-time</u>: LBTS computation cannot generally be guaranteed to complete *within a bounded time* (Transient messages cause an LBTS computation to be aborted and retried).

### <u>CERTI Implementation</u>

- Use NULL MESSAGE ALGORITHM algorithm;
- Seems to have interesting behavior for real-time simulations;
- Latency compensated by better synchronization.



Global View Algorithms and Limitations

🔆 HLA services concerned

#### TM for event driven RT federate

- → NER, NERA and Time Creep
- → A new Optimized Algorithm
- ➔ Illustration

#### TM for time driven RT federate

- ➔ Periodic Federates
- ➔ Metrics, Formulas
- → Illustration

### <u>Time management HLA services concerned</u>

- Various services exist to allow the federate to express its requests for advancing its local logical time:
  - > timeAdvanceRequest() (TAR);
  - → timeAdvanceRequestAvailable() (TARA);
  - > nextEventRequest() (NER);
  - > nextEventRequestAvailable() (NERA);
- <u>Type of federate concerned</u>
  - TAR and TARA are devoted to federates which employ a TIME-STEPPED mechanism;
  - NER and NERA are devoted to federates which employ a EVENT-DRIVEN mechanism;
  - TARA and NERA are devoted to *zero-lookahead protocol*:
    - After TAG(t) messages with timestamp equal to t can still be delivered by the federate.



*Global View* Algorithms and Limitations HLA services concerned

#### TM for event driven RT federate

NER, NERA and Time Creep

- → A new Optimized Algorithm
- ➔ Illustration

#### TM for time driven RT federate

- ➔ Periodic Federates
- ➔ Metrics, Formulas
- → Illustration

## Time Creep Problem

- Two federates : Fed1 and Fed2 with lookahead=1 call the NER(5) service;
- •They are alone in the federation so that they could theoretically advance their local time strait to instant t=5;
- •Classical NULL message algorithm imply 12 null messages exchange for advance each federate;
- In several case, the number of Null Messages may become unacceptable and limits the performance of the simulation:
  - Lookahead Time Creep Problem.





*Global View* Algorithms and Limitations HLA services concerned TM for event driven RT federate

NER, NERA and Time Creep

🔆 A new Optimized Algorithm

➔ Illustration

TM for time driven RT federate

➔ Periodic Federates

- → Metrics, Formulas
- → Illustration

## NULL MESSAGE PRIME ALGORITHM

- The idea of our NULL MESSAGE PRIME algorithm is to *take advantage of the RTIG* (CERTI CRC Central Run-Time Infrastructure Component);
- In the classical NULL message algorithm : RTIG is only acting as a *pure gateway* and distributes the NULL messages to each concerned federate.

### • <u>The new algorithm :</u>

- When a federate is NERing it will send a NULL PRIME message to the RTIG;
- RTIG computes an Federation-wide LBTS;
- Whenever the RTI-LBTS strictly increases, the *RTIG* will *generate an anonymous NULL message* and *broadcast it to all time constrained federates*.
- The NULL PRIME Message algorithm co-exists with the classical NULL Message and the protocol is still valid when federate use TAR and NER services.



**Global View Algorithms and Limitations HLA** services concerned

#### TM for event driven RT federate

**NER, NERA and Time Creep** A new Optimized Algorithm

mail Illustration

#### TM for time driven RT federate

- → Periodic Federates
- → Metrics, Formulas
- → Illustration

### Illustration

### • In this case :

- the number of NULL message exchanged before TAG(5) is 8;
- In the original algorithm, it is 12.
- The number of message generated by the algorithm is constant and independent from lookahead value (including zero lookahead).
- We think that the NULL PRIME Message algorithm is somehow equivalent to *global reduction* based algorithm like the one from *Mattern*.





*Global View* Algorithms and Limitations HLA services concerned

#### TM for event driven RT federate

NER, NERA and Time Creep A new Optimized Algorithm Illustration

#### TM for time driven RT federate

🔆 Periodic Federates

- ➔ Metrics, Formulas
- ➔ Illustration

## Repeatability within the simulations

- Concept introduced by Fujimoto and McLean;
- Federates repeat the same pattern of execution periodically (time step noted  $\Delta t$ ).
- Each step is the execution of 4 phases:
  - (1) a reception phase;
  - (2) a *computation* phase;
  - (3) a *transmission* phase;
  - (4) a *slack time* phase.
- Onera's studies show the necessity of adding a *synchronization* phase that could be done by 3 techniques:
  - (1) Consulting an hardware clock;

(2) Sending an interaction which rhythms the simulation;

(3) Using time management algorithms.







*Global View* Algorithms and Limitations HLA services concerned

#### TM for event driven RT federate

NER, NERA and Time Creep A new Optimized Algorithm Illustration

#### TM for time driven RT federate

A Periodic Federates

- → Metrics, Formulas
- ➔ Illustration



- Quantify NULL Message exchange
  - Allow a better evaluation of a *WCET* for a Real-time federate;
  - Add some deterministic mechanism;
  - Metrics available on an given simulated time interval;
  - Metrics available for a federate between its TAR() service call and TAG() RTI callback.



*Global View* Algorithms and Limitations HLA services concerned

### Basic Assumptions

- The global simulation (Federation) is composed by *N* periodic federates
- For a federate i noted *fed(i)*:
- ✤ t(i) its logical time;
- Ik(i) its Iookahead;
- *ts(i)* its *time step* (expression of its computational periodicity in simulated time);
- *gt(j)* is the global state vector of federate *j*;
  This vector is currently updated during simulation by NULL MESSAGE exchange;
- **TS**<sub>LCM</sub> is the study interval usually equal to the least common multiple of all federate step.



TM for event driven RT federate

**NER, NERA and Time Creep** 

A new Optimized Algorithm

Illustration

#### TM for time driven RT federate

Periodic Federates

🔆 Metrics, Formulas

➔ Illustration

$$NM_{s}(i) = \frac{TS_{LCM}}{ts(i)}$$

$$NM_{R}(i) = \sum_{j} \left( \frac{TS_{LCM}}{ts(j)} \right)$$

$$W_{j} = \left[\frac{t(i) + ts(i) - gt(j)}{ts(j)}\right]$$

$$\sum_{j} W_{j} \leq NM_{Cycle}(i) \leq \sum_{j} W_{j} + (N-1)$$



P.Siron, E.Noulard, JB.Chaudron (April 6, 2011)



16/18

## **FUTURE TRENDS (1/2)**

- Systems simulated with HLA may have a discrete modeling:
  - characterized by a *given state*;
  - its behavior over time can be described by a sequence of state *transition*.
- We were interested in formalism of Finite and Temporized Automata with the **UPPAAL** tool to validate our approach for each part of the problem.



# **FUTURE TRENDS (2/2)**

- First Results for Time Stepped Federate:
  - UPPAAL models for Federate and RTI are available;
  - Properties and Metrics have been validated by UPPAAL Verifier for 2, 3 and 4 federates;
  - Combinatorial explosion for more ...
- First Results for Event Driven Federate:
  - UPPAAL models for federate and RTI are under construction;
  - Verification for soon...
- Perspectives:
  - Investigate the Similarities and differences between NULL MESSAGE PRIME Algorithm and MATTERN one;
  - Check others formal techniques for validation.

 Include these results to our general and global works on *real-time* distributed simulations (10E-SIW-011).

